

09893314 - GAU: 1792

PTO/SB/08A (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

## Substitute for Form 1449A/PTO

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet	1	of	2
-------	---	----	---

**Complete if Known**

Application Number:	09/893,314
---------------------	------------

<b>Filing Date:</b>	June 27, 2001
---------------------	---------------

First Named Inventor:	Blankenship et al.
-----------------------	--------------------

Group Art Unit:	1762
-----------------	------

Examiner Name:	Eric B. Fuller
----------------	----------------

Attorney Docket Number:	506422.0047
-------------------------	-------------

## U. S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

[illegible]

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

[illegible]

Examiner  
Signature

Date Considered	
--------------------	--

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup>Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup>See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. <sup>3</sup>Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). <sup>4</sup>For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. <sup>5</sup>Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. <sup>6</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

**Burden Hour Statement:** This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. **DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:** Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Receipt date: 10/01/2004

09893314 - GAU: 1792

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for Form 1449B/PTO (Modified)  
**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE  
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**  
(use as many sheets as necessary)

**Complete if Known**

Application Number: 09/893,314  
Filing Date: June 27, 2001  
First Named Inventor: Blankenship et al.  
Group Art Unit: 1762  
Examiner Name: Eric B. Fuller  
Attorney Docket Number: 506422.0047

Sheet 2 of 2

**OTHER REFERENCES - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION**

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T <sup>2</sup>
		An interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway near San Jose, Illinois in 1998. This interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 26,138 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 15°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 18.4 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.	
		An interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway near St. Joseph, Missouri in 1998. This interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 66,932 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 15°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 18.1 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.	
		Another interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway near San Jose, Illinois in 1998. This interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 138,775 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 15°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 15.5 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.	
		An interlayer was constructed and placed on a roadway in Orange, Texas in 1999. This project included Section 1 and Section 2. Section 1 interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 894,786 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 20°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 14.1 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicant received money for placing this interlayer. Section 2 interlayer had a flexural beam fatigue of 672,381 cycles at 2000 microstrain, 20°C, and 10 Hz and a Hveem stability of 16.4 at 60°C and 50 gyrations. These properties of the interlayer were measured after the interlayer was placed on the roadway. Applicants received money for placing this interlayer.	

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
-----------------------	--------------------

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup>Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.